Abstract

2	Weight suppression (WS) refers to the discrepancy between highest adult weight and current
3	weight, and has been examined as a key construct related both to eating pathology and weight
4	management. Despite increasing interest in WS as it relates to eating disorders and obesity,
5	findings regarding the clinical implications of WS are often conflicting. Depending on the
6	population examined, WS may be related to both adaptive and maladaptive outcomes. Moreover,
7	results regarding the predictive utility of WS within clinical samples have been inconsistent. The
8	current paper aims to provide a narrative review of existing investigation related to WS,
9	highlight gaps in the field's understanding of this construct, and provide recommendations for
10	future study.
11	Keywords: weight suppression, eating disorders, weight management, eating pathology, anorexia
12	nervosa, bulimia nervosa
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

2

Weight Suppression and its Relation to Eating Disorder and Weight Outcomes: A Narrative Review

- 3 Weight suppression (WS) refers to the calculated difference between an individual's 4 highest weight since reaching adulthood (outside of pregnancy) and current weight (Lowe, 1984, 5 1993). Originally introduced in the 1980's (e.g., Lowe, 1984), interest in WS and its links to 6 eating pathology has burgeoned over the past decade, under the theoretical proposition that 7 maintaining a body weight below a point that is most natural for one's body will create metabolic 8 and psychological resistance and pressure to return to this natural, higher weight. Despite 9 increased interest in WS in eating disorder and weight management research, along with a 10 growing emphasis on metabolic and biological mechanisms underlying the development of 11 eating pathology and obesity, no reviews to date provide a comprehensive summary of existing 12 research on the construct of WS. The current review aims to provide a description of existing 13 findings on WS across the spectrum of weight- and eating-related behaviors. To do so, we first 14 describe findings regarding the role of WS in clinical and non-clinical populations, focusing on 15 outcomes across samples of individuals with diagnoses of bulimia nervosa (BN), anorexia 16 nervosa (AN), and multi-diagnostic samples, including individuals with binge eating disorder 17 (BED). Next, we consider existing work on WS within college and community samples. 18 Following review of existing data probing associations between WS and relevant eating and 19 weight-related outcomes, we highlight controversies and several limitations of the current 20 assessment of WS, as well as provide commentary on important areas for future study.
- 21 Weight Suppression and Associated Psychological Outcomes

Weight suppression in clinical samples. The majority of research on WS has evaluated
 its association with eating disorder (ED) -related clinical phenomena. A recent systematic review

1 of studies examining WS as a predictor of treatment in EDs determined that WS was generally 2 associated with weight gain following treatment, but not with other treatment outcomes (e.g., 3 treatment completion; symptom abstinence) (Jenkins, Lebow, & Rienecke, 2018). A more 4 detailed investigation of the extant literature is warranted, as the hypothesis that WS negatively 5 impacts treatment outcome is not consistently supported. In the following sections, we explore 6 existing work linking WS with clinical symptoms, weight trajectory, and treatment response 7 within BN and AN, and then consider some initial work investigating WS within mixed 8 diagnostic samples and/or BED.

9

Bulimia nervosa.

10 ED pathology. Overall, research in samples of individuals with BN suggests that greater 11 WS is associated with increased eating pathology. Specifically, cross-sectional studies of 12 individuals seeking treatment for BN have indicated that elevated WS is associated with greater 13 binge eating and purging frequency at baseline, prior to beginning treatment (Butryn, Juarascio, 14 & Lowe, 2011; Lowe, Thomas, Safer, & Butryn, 2007). These findings support hypotheses that 15 maintenance of bulimic symptoms may be influenced by the desire to avoid returning to 16 premorbid body weights (e.g., Garner & Fairburn, 1988), as the behaviors needed to maintain a 17 suppressed weight (e.g., caloric restriction) can also increase risk for binge eating and subsequent 18 compensatory behaviors (Herman & Polivy, 1975; Lowe, 1986; Stice, Davis, Miller, & Marti, 19 2008).

Because mixed findings might indicate that there are other variables or individual
difference factors that impact relations between WS and BN symptomology, some investigations
have examined factors hypothesized to moderate this association. Butryn, Juarascio and Lowe
(2011) tested whether the interaction between current body mass index (BMI) and WS at

1 pretreatment accounted for binge eating and purging frequency in women with BN, prior to 2 beginning intensive outpatient cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). This interaction was not 3 significant for purging, as elevated WS demonstrated a significant, positive main effect on 4 purging frequency regardless of pretreatment BMI; however, the WS x BMI interaction term 5 predicted frequency of binge eating, such that individuals with low BMI and high WS reported 6 significantly greater binge eating frequency than the other three groups (i.e., those with higher 7 BMI, in combination with either low or high WS). While this finding suggests that individuals 8 with lower body mass at treatment entry and greater suppressed weight may be at risk for binge 9 eating, other research has failed to replicate this finding (Dawkins Watson, Egan, & Kane, 2013). 10 In addition to BMI at treatment onset, timing of WS assessment may present an important 11 variable to consider, as some research suggests that differences in timing of highest weight 12 attainment (premorbid vs. postmorbid) may differentially relate to age of onset and average 13 duration of BN pathology (Shaw et al., 2012). Moreover, one study of adolescents with BN 14 found that levels of greatest WS (difference between highest ever and lowest ever BMI z-score 15 [BMIz]), rather than current WS, moderated the effect of BMIz on symptom outcomes, such that 16 youth with higher levels of greatest WS and high current BMIz engaged in more frequency of 17 binge eating than youth with low current BMIz (Accurso, Lebow, Murray, Kass, & Le Grange, 18 2016). Tests of additional moderators, including dietary restraint, difference between highest and 19 lowest body weights, parental history of overweight, and patients' childhood body shape, have 20 not yielded significant moderation effects on WS and BN symptoms (Dawkins et al., 2013; Lowe 21 et al., 2007).

Treatment response. In a meta-analysis examining predictors of treatment outcome across
 studies of individuals with BN or BED, greater WS demonstrated a small effect on increased

1 treatment dropout (Vall & Wade, 2015). It should be noted that this effect was calculated from 5 2 studies, one of which included participants with BED (vs. only participants with BN), and did 3 not include studies of patients with AN. Prospective research in treatment-seeking adults with 4 BN also demonstrated that elevated pretreatment WS predicts greater bulimic symptom 5 maintenance over the course of cognitive behavioral interventions in both adult outpatient 6 (Butryn, Lowe, Safer, & Agras, 2006; Lowe et al., 2011; Herzog et al., 2010) and inpatient 7 samples (Lowe, Davis, Lucks, Annunziato, & Butryn, 2006). Despite some evidence linking WS 8 with BN symptom maintenance, results from several investigations do not support the role of 9 WS in the maintenance of BN symptoms following treatment. For instance, although Butryn et 10 al. (2006) determined that WS predicted treatment completion and symptom outcome in a large 11 sample of women receiving outpatient treatment for BN, Carter, McIntosh, Joyce, and Bulik 12 (2008) were unable to replicate these findings. A retrospective review of treatment outcome data 13 among patients referred for CBT for BN over an eight-year period failed to find evidence of 14 significant associations between WS and bulimic symptom outcomes, including abstinence from 15 and frequency of binge eating and purging behaviors (Dawkins et al., 2013). Such discrepancies 16 suggest additional research should strive to clarify whether and how WS may relate to bulimic 17 symptomatology and maintenance over the course of treatment, and longitudinally thereafter, 18 among individuals with BN.

Weight trajectory. Within an inpatient sample of females with BN, higher levels of WS predicted greater weight gain over treatment (Lowe et al., 2006). These findings were replicated among women with BN receiving outpatient CBT, where WS was positively associated with weight change (i.e., weight gain \geq 5 kg over treatment) (Carter et al., 2008). Taken together, these studies provide preliminary evidence that WS predicts short-term weight gain in BN treatment.

1 Of note, although a study among inpatients with BN indicated WS was associated with weight 2 gain over the course of treatment, with slightly more weight gain in patients with higher WS, 3 these effects were not significant (Hessler et al., 2017). Investigating whether any significant 4 weight trend might continue post-treatment, Herzog et al. (2010) found that among treatment-5 seeking women with BN, WS predicted faster weight gain over five years. Overall, most 6 evidence supports an association between higher pretreatment/baseline WS and weight gain over 7 the course of both inpatient and outpatient CBT treatment, an effect that may persist over time. 8 Given that weight gain both during and after treatment might inspire dieting behavior that 9 maintains BN or contribute to relapse, assessment of WS and specific weight trajectories in individuals with BN may have important treatment implications (Juarascio, Lantz, Muratore, & 10 11 Lowe, 2017). 12 Anorexia nervosa. 13 14 Initial investigation of WS primarily focused on its presentation in individuals with BN; 15 however, more recent research has established that WS may have similar utility in accounting for 16 ED symptomatology among individuals with AN. Secondary lines of work have tested the utility 17 of WS in accounting for treatment response, as well as long-term weight trajectory. 18 ED pathology. To date, several studies have evaluated associations between WS and 19 eating disorder cognitions and behaviors within AN. First, within a sample of individuals 20 receiving residential treatment for AN, WS demonstrated significant, cross-sectional correlations 21 with several measures of ED symptoms, including shape concern, eating concern, restraint, 22 global eating pathology, depression, bulimia, and drive for thinness (Berner, Shaw, Witt, & 23 Lowe, 2013). Additionally, prospective analyses within this same sample indicated that an 24 interaction between WS and BMI accounted for significant variance in symptom endorsement at

discharge; those individuals with high WS and low BMI at intake reported fewer symptoms at
discharge, whereas women with high WS and high BMIs at intake reported higher
symptomatology at discharge (Berner et al., 2013). Bodell, Racine and Wildes (2016) found that
in a sample of women with AN, the interaction between WS and BMI predicted increases in ED
symptoms following discharge from intensive treatment (i.e., inpatient only, outpatient day
hospital, or a combination).

7 Related to WS, several studies have found that considering other aspects of an 8 individual's weight history— specifically greatest WS, or his or her highest past weight— may 9 account for variability in the onset and trajectory of AN symptoms. Within a sample of 10 individuals with adolescent-onset AN and matched controls, Berkowitz et al. (2016) found that 11 individuals with AN had significantly higher BMIs than healthy controls during infancy (as 12 measured by ponderal index) and childhood. In addition to considering childhood and adolescent 13 weight status, considering highest past weight may be important in determining symptom 14 severity. For example, Swenne, Parling and Ros (2017) found that in a large sample of 15 adolescents with restrictive EDs, degree and speed of weight loss- in addition to current weight 16 status— accounted for significant variability in medical indices of symptom severity (e.g., 17 cardiac function), even if individuals were currently in the normal BMI range. In a similar 18 manner, Berner, Feig, Witt and Lowe (2017) investigated the association between weight history 19 and amenorrhea in a sample of individuals seeking residential treatment for AN, and found that 20 greater WS related to fewer menstrual periods in the four months prior to admission to treatment. 21 Moreover, within this sample, higher past BMI related to loss of menses at a higher BMI, and 22 higher past BMI related positively to BMI at menses resumption. Overall, these studies suggest

that considering an individual's weight history, in addition to current weight, may be important
 in accounting for symptom onset and severity in the context of AN.

3 Treatment response. In addition to symptom severity, other studies have evaluated links 4 between WS and treatment outcome and dropout. Of note, understanding links between WS and 5 treatment outcome are complex, given established links between WS and weight gain (i.e., a 6 common target within AN treatment) and severity of psychopathology within bulimic-spectrum 7 disorders. Accordingly, existing findings within study of AN have been somewhat mixed. The 8 first study examining WS in AN suggested that higher levels of WS predicted greater total and 9 faster rates of weight gain over the course of intensive inpatient behavioral treatment for AN, as 10 well as increased likelihood of engaging in binge eating and purging behaviors at discharge 11 (Wildes & Marcus, 2012). A recent study replicated results from Wildes and Marcus (2012), 12 linking WS with greater speed of weight gain in an outpatient sample, demonstrating that WS 13 predicted the total amount of weight gain, as well as rate of weight gain, over the course of 14 treatment (Carter et al., 2015). Most recently, Swenne et al. (2017) found that WS accounted for 15 significant variance in 12-month outcomes for family based treatment; specifically, lower WS 16 was related to *better* outcomes, as defined by the absence of clinically-significant ED 17 psychopathology and fulfillment of diagnostic criteria.

Other work has found no direct links between WS and treatment outcome, and thus has turned to evaluate other variables that may interact with WS to more precisely characterize WS as a prognostic indicator. Berner et al. (2013) found that while WS related to BMI at discharge and speed of weight gain throughout treatment, it did not relate to other ED symptoms (e.g., cognitive symptoms, bulimic-type behaviors) at discharge. Instead, the interaction between WS and BMI was a significant predictor of outcome among those with higher WS, such that those

individuals with higher BMI and higher WS at intake had higher symptoms at discharge,
 whereas those with lower BMI and higher WS at intake had better outcomes, reflected in lower
 symptoms at discharge. On the other hand, this same study failed to replicate findings linking
 WS with binge eating and purging at discharge.

5 *Longer-term weight trajectory.* Although there are only a few existing studies evaluating 6 the links between WS and longer-term weight trajectory in samples of individuals with AN, 7 findings from existing work consistently align with results from treatment research suggesting 8 that WS is associated with greater weight gain over time. For example, in a community sample 9 of individuals with AN, Witt et al. (2014) found that baseline WS was positively associated with 10 BMI at 6- and 10-year follow-up; this effect was strongest among those with lower BMIs at 11 baseline. Moreover, baseline WS was positively associated with BMI at 18-year follow-up. 12 Bodell et al. (2016) found that in a sample of women with AN who discharged from intensive 13 treatment, WS at discharge predicted change in BMI at 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up.

14

Mixed clinical samples.

15 Expanding from research conducted in samples of single diagnoses (e.g., BN-only, ANonly), other research has examined WS among samples of individuals with varying ED 16 17 diagnoses. As in study samples with a single ED diagnosis, multi-diagnostic studies have 18 included outcome variables that examine ED symptoms, treatment response, and weight 19 trajectory. To date, only one study has explicitly studied WS in a sample of individuals with a 20 primary diagnosis of BED (Zunker et al., 2011); as such, it is critical that future work include 21 studies of WS specifically amongst individuals with a primary diagnosis of BED. 22 ED pathology. One investigation of interactions among WS, BMI, and DSM-IV-defined

23 ED diagnostic groups (i.e., AN, BN, BED, EDNOS) found that after controlling for ED

1 diagnosis and current BMI, WS demonstrated a significant association with weight and shape 2 concerns, exercise and restrictive behaviors, and weight control medication use; WS was not 3 directly related to binge eating or vomiting (Lavender et al., 2015). Notably, the relation 4 between WS and ED pathology in this study did not differ by diagnosis. Significant interaction 5 effects included WS x BMI, but only in relation to weight and shape concerns, such that the 6 positive association between WS and weight and shape concern was strongest at lower BMI. 7 There were also stronger positive associations between BMI and weight control medication use 8 among those with BN versus EDNOS, and stronger, positive associations between BMI and both 9 binge eating/vomiting and exercise/restrictive eating behaviors among those with AN versus 10 EDNOS. Given the varied presentation of individuals with EDNOS, it is difficult to draw 11 specific conclusions from these interaction effects, but evidence supports a strong main effect of 12 WS on various ED psychopathology dimensions (with the exception of binge eating/vomiting). 13 In a large, multisite sample of individuals with either BN or BED, individuals were 14 assessed following various CBT interventions (i.e., group CBT for BED; individual CBT for BN, 15 either face to face or via telemedicine). Results indicated that of the individuals who completed 16 treatment, WS failed to predict abstinence from binge eating for BN and BED participants, and 17 failed to predict abstinence from purging or binge eating and purging combined for those with 18 BN (Zunker et al., 2011).

Only one investigation of WS and ED pathology in a mixed-diagnostic sample (in this case, individuals diagnosed with BN or BED) has studied WS as an outcome variable. Cook et al. (2015) found that both exercise frequency and BN/BED diagnoses were associated with WS. Additionally, exercise frequency moderated the relation between diagnosis and WS, such that WS was higher in BN than in BED among those who reported lower exercise frequency. In

contrast, no notable difference in WS was identified among those reporting higher exercise
frequency in patients with BN and BED. Findings from this study suggest a potential subgroup
of individuals with BED who may engage in more frequent exercise to maintain a suppressed
body weight. As WS is the outcome in this study, it is difficult to draw conclusions about the
relation between WS and maladaptive exercise behavior in individuals with BED or BN across
other literature.

Treatment response. In the multisite trial conducted by Zunker et al. (2011) described
above, for both individuals with BN or BED, WS did not predict treatment completion (Zunker
et al., 2011). To date, no other studies have examined treatment response explicitly with a
transdiagnostic ED sample.

Weight trajectory. Recent work with a combined sample of patients with AN and BN demonstrated a significant positive association between baseline WS and weight gain at the end of treatment (Miotto, Ciappini, Favaro, Santanastapso & Gallichio, 2017). In contrast, in the multisite trial conducted by Zunker et al. (2011) described above, WS failed to predict weight change during treatment both for participants with BN, as well as for those with BED. In sum, studies of the relation between WS and ED symptoms, treatment outcome and weight trajectory are minimal in transdiagnostic samples.

18

Weight suppression in non-treatment-seeking samples.

While initial interest in WS was prompted by findings within clinical ED samples, WS has also garnered interest as a correlate as well as predictor of eating and weight outcomes in non-treatment-seeking samples. Elevated WS has been reported to be suggestive of a history of consistent caloric restriction (Klem, Wing, McGuire, Seagle, & Hill, 1998). While the long-term goal of dieting is typically sustained weight loss, WS may have both adaptive and maladaptive

1 associations with various factors related to weight management in non-clinical populations. 2 Individuals high in WS report higher levels of dietary restraint (Lowe, 1984), increased levels of 3 physical activity (Cook et al., 2015; French & Jeffrey, 1997), and reduced food consumption 4 following a laboratory preload (Lowe & Kleifield, 1988) than their counterparts with low WS, all 5 of which may be adaptive for health outcomes and weight management. However, WS has also 6 been identified as a predictor of negative eating and weight outcomes in healthy populations, 7 including excess weight gain (Stice et al., 2011) and increased incidence of loss of control (LOC) 8 and binge eating behavior (Van son, van der Meer, & Van Furth, 2013). Below, we explore links 9 between WS and weight-relevant outcomes, including eating pathology and weight trajectory, in 10 college and community-based samples.

11

Weight suppression in college samples.

12 ED pathology. Given typical age of ED onset and other psychosocial and developmental 13 factors, undergraduate students are at increased risk for the development of eating pathology 14 (Eisenberg, Nickett, Roeder & Kirz, 2011). Despite this vulnerability, few studies to date have 15 directly tested associations between WS and eating behaviors in college samples. Early 16 investigations of in-lab behavior were mixed in their findings. On one hand, Lowe and Kleinfield 17 (1988) found that within a small sample of healthy, female undergraduates, individuals identified 18 as weight suppressors reported higher restraint, and ate significantly less food following a 19 milkshake preload. On the other hand, another investigation found no significant links between 20 WS, cognitive restraint, and in-lab eating behaviors (Morgan & Jeffrey, 1999).

More recent research that has gauged associations between WS and self-reported eating pathology has also yielded conflicting results. Specifically, one examination of the relation between WS and disordered eating symptoms among college students determined that WS did not predict increases in BN symptoms at the end of the first year of college (Stice et al., 2011). In another sample, WS was cross-sectionally related to dietary restraint and purging behaviors, but not to LOC eating; additionally, these effects may differentially impact eating behavior relative to gender (Burnette, Simpson, & Mazzeo, 2017). In this study, men with higher WS engaged in more frequent purging behaviors (i.e., vomiting and laxative use). These findings suggest that undergraduate men who have history of overweight or significant weight loss may be at increased risk for the development of more extreme weight control behaviors.

8 Weight trajectory. Greater WS is associated with increased weight gain over time among 9 undergraduate student samples (Lowe et al., 2006; Lowe et al., 2007). Few studies have tested 10 potential mechanisms of links between WS and weight gain within college samples. In a notable 11 exception, one study found that increases in BMI did not appear to be affected by biological 12 mechanisms such as resting metabolic rate, or total energy expenditure (Stice et al., 2011). 13 Findings from this investigation suggest that psychological variables, such as perceived 14 deprivation, and behavioral variables related to eating and exercise must be investigated further 15 to examine the association between WS and weight gain.

Overall, while the work evaluating links between WS and weight trajectory within college samples remains limited in scope, findings from existing research tentatively support associations between WS and weight gain, and indicate that biological mechanisms may not wholly account for the nature of this relation. On the other hand, limited work evaluating links between WS and eating pathology, significant variability in methodological approaches, and mixed findings precludes any conclusions regarding relations between WS and eating behaviors in college students at this time.

23

Weight suppression in community-based samples.

2

In the following sections, we include studies of WS with associated eating pathology and weight outcomes in non-clinical, community-based samples.

3 ED pathology. Within a generally healthy community sample, weight suppressors 4 reported higher physical activity levels and low-fat eating behaviors as compared to non-5 suppressors, suggesting that WS might predict successful weight loss maintenance (French & 6 Jeffrey, 1997). More recent work examining associations between WS and disordered eating 7 within these samples has identified significant positive links between WS and bulimic symptoms 8 (Mitchell et al., 2011), even when controlling for dieting behavior (Keel & Heatherton, 2010). 9 For example, one study found positive associations between WS, binge eating, and LOC eating 10 behaviors, though effects were nonsignificant after accounting for dieting (Van son et al. 2013).

Drawing from a large population-based sample of female adult twin dyads, Mitchell et al. (2011) found that restraint and dieting during childhood related to increased WS across the sample. In addition, body dissatisfaction, restraint, age, and drive for thinness positively related to WS among non-binge eaters whereas only restraint, disinhibition, and dieting during childhood were positively linked to WS among individuals who endorsed binge eating and LOC eating. Noting these differences, the authors concluded that WS might differentially relate to various factors, depending on ED vulnerability status.

Further, prospective investigation of links between WS and bulimic symptoms have been examined in a community sample across the span of 20 years (Bodell, Brown & Keel, 2017; Keel & Heatherton, 2010). The first part of this study, conducted across two population-based cohorts (1982; 1992), suggested that WS was a significant predictor of changes in bulimic behaviors at 10-year follow-up, regardless of reported dieting frequency (Keel & Heatherton, 2010). Patterns in the data suggest that greater WS at baseline assessment predicted maintenance

1	of EDI bulimic symptoms, more gradual decrease in symptoms over time, or increases in
2	symptoms from baseline to follow-up. Follow-up evaluation of diagnostic status at baseline
3	determined that WS was the only significant predictor for bulimic syndrome onset at the 10-year
4	follow-up for individuals who did not originally endorse bulimic symptoms at baseline,
5	suggesting that WS may be a core risk factor for individuals at risk for BN eating pathology.
6	Further examination of this study sample in 2012 revealed that higher WS at baseline predicted
7	increased bulimic symptoms at 20-year follow-up, controlling for baseline bulimic symptoms,
8	BMI, and drive for thinness. Elevated drive for thinness at 10-year follow-up mediated this effect
9	(Bodell, Brown, & Keel, 2017). This finding seems to suggest that preoccupation with thinness
10	may serve to perpetuate bulimic symptoms for those who report higher WS.
11	Weight trajectory. Prospective study of obese individuals attempting to lose weight has
12	indicated difficulty in sustaining a suppressed weight for an extended period of time
13	(Dombrowski, Knittle, Avenell, Araujo-Soares, & Sniehotta, 2014). Recent research indicates
14	that strong neurobehavioral (Appelhans, French, Pagoto & Sherwood, 2016) and biological
15	(Greenway, 2015; Fothergill et al., 2016) drives towards restoration of a previously higher
16	weight may interfere with successful weight management. Notably, research that examined
17	weight history and subsequent weight gain found that history of WS itself did not independently
18	increase risk for longitudinal weight gain for men, and only marginally so for women (Wye,
19	Dubin, Blair & Pietro, 2007). Additional evidence from trials investigating weight cycling show
20	that weight cycling does not induce greater weight gain when compared to non-cycling
21	individuals (Mason et al., 2013). Further, individuals with more previous weight loss attempts
22	and larger previous weight losses actually perform better in self-help weight management
23	(Latner & Ciao, 2014). Altogether, WS does not seem to independently produce weight regain

above that which would have been gained without rebound from loss related to a current diet
 (Greenway, 2015; Lowe, 2015).

3 Examinations of WS and associated maladaptive outcomes within community 4 populations are limited in number, but demonstrate consistent links with negative outcomes 5 associated with maintenance of ED symptoms (e.g., Keel & Heatherton, 2010). In particular, 6 symptoms of binge eating, LOC eating, and drive for thinness may be more problematic in 7 maintaining ED pathology over time, specifically symptoms related to BN (i.e., binge eating, and 8 compensatory purging behaviors). Recent study of clinical impairment specifically related to 9 bulimic symptoms in a community sample found that WS was significantly associated with 10 clinical impairment (Hagan, Clark, & Forbush, 2017). Although WS did not demonstrate 11 incremental validity above and beyond other factors tested (e.g., frequency of engagement in 12 maladaptive compensatory behavior), it did demonstrate a medium effect size in independently 13 predicting clinical impairment. In sum, preliminary findings from study of WS in community 14 samples indicate value in considering the clinical impact WS might have in maintenance of 15 symptoms and impairment associated with BN-related pathology.

10

16 Current Controversies and Future Directions

Future research is needed to clarify associations between WS and eating and weightrelated outcomes, particularly in certain understudied populations (e.g., clinical samples of individuals with BED). Future work should pursue a consistent definition, operationalization, and calculation of WS. In the following sections, we outline important controversies and issues that should be the focus of study moving forward.

Method of calculation. To date, there has been marked inconsistency in the
 operationalization of WS used within existing literature, with some studies calculating WS by

1	subtracting an individual's current weight from his or her highest ever adult, non-pregnancy
2	weight, in pounds or kilograms (e.g., Butryn et al., 2006; Herzog et al., 2010; Stice et al., 2011),
3	others using BMI units (e.g., Berner et al., 2013; Witt et al., 2014), some choosing to
4	dichotomize samples into "high" or "low" WS (e.g., Carter et al., 2008; Butryn et al., 2011;
5	Zunker et al., 2011), and other studies using alternative methods of gauging weight fluctuation
6	that consider lowest adult weight (e.g., Carter et al., 2008; Witt et al., 2014). Notably,
7	inconsistent operationalization of WS may contribute to mixed findings across samples and limit
8	researchers' ability to make comparisons across investigations, as different calculations would
9	likely generate differing groups of individuals considered "weight suppressed." For instance, a
10	study calculating WS using weight only would treat two cases with BN that have lost 10 pounds
11	from their highest weight (e.g., 150) in a similar manner in statistical analyses, even if those
12	women had significantly different heights (e.g., 58 inches, and one 67 inches, respectively). On
13	the other hand, for a study using changes in BMI units, these women would be considered
14	differentially weight suppressed, as the 58-inch woman would have a WS value of 2.0 and the
15	67-inch woman would have a WS value of 1.4. Although lack of clarity surrounding the precise
16	mechanism through which WS relates to symptoms precludes determining the "best" way to
17	classify individuals along a continuum of weight suppression, it is clear that varying calculations
18	of the construct likely limit the ability to compare results of statistical tests.
19	Moreover, the majority of existing WS calculations make use of a change score and are
20	collected in a self-report format, both of which may detract from the validity and reliability of
21	the construct (Schaumberg, Anderson, Reilly, Gorrell, & Anderson, 2016). Preliminary work
22	comparing methods of WS calculation determined that it is advisable to consider the impact of

23 highest past weight within calculations (Schaumberg et al., 2016). Based on this

recommendation, in one recent study, current weight was subtracted from highest lifetime
weight; this weight loss was then divided by lifetime highest weight to determine percentage of
weight loss (Forney et al., 2017). Future work must better explore the psychometric properties
of differing calculations of WS, and it is recommended that researchers operationalize the
construct in a manner that maximizes reliability and validity, while facilitating consistency
within the literature.

7

Developmental sensitivity of the weight suppression construct. Early

8 conceptualizations of WS operationalized the construct as considering an individual's highest 9 *adult* weight. However, some recent existing investigations of WS—particularly those within 10 clinical samples—have included participants who are below the age of 18 and/or ask participants 11 to report on highest post-puberty weight. Recent work on the relation between EDs and body 12 weight throughout childhood reveals a complex developmental phenomenon. For example, 13 genome-wide association studies find positive genetic correlations between risk for AN and 14 lower BMI (Duncan et al., 2017). Additionally, in contrast to prior work showing that 15 individuals with AN demonstrated higher childhood weight (Berkowitz et al., 2016), a recent 16 epidemiological investigation indicated that individuals with AN may drop from expected 17 growth curves very early in life (e.g., before age five; Yilmaz, Gottfredson, Zerwas, Bulik, & 18 Micali, manuscript submitted for publication). Some individuals with AN may never have 19 reached a developmentally appropriate highest adult weight, and therefore would not have 20 experienced significant weight loss. In contrast, some observational studies indicate that higher 21 childhood BMI is associated with risk for eating pathology during adolescence (Berkowitz et al., 22 2016), and an epidemiological study recently explored the causal role of BMI on later disordered 23 eating and found that higher BMI at age seven predicted disordered eating during adolescence

(Reed, Micali, Bulik, Davey Smith, & Wade, 2017). These results suggest that individuals
 disposed to higher weight may be more likely to engage in disordered eating to induce weight
 loss to a suppressed, even if normative, weight. When considering WS in a developmental
 context, it is thus relevant to consider the construct with an eye towards deviations from expected
 growth patterns, which vary across individuals.

6 Importantly, although initial investigation indicates that WS may hold clinical utility 7 across developmental stages (Accurso et al., 2016), there are several conceptual and 8 methodological issues relevant when investigating WS across the lifespan. Mechanisms that 9 place overweight individuals at risk for EDs may derive from factors related to WS, specifically 10 related to expectations of weight and height relative to a growth curve. For example, an 8-year 11 old girl who is 53 inches tall and 100 pounds would have a BMI of 25, BMI percentile of 98%, 12 and would be considered obese. If this girl reached an adult height of 63 inches (i.e., maximum 13 lifetime height) at 12 years old and maintained a weight of 110 pounds from 12 to 17 years, her 14 BMI would remain stable at 19.5 throughout that time frame, but her BMI percentile would drop 15 from 75% to 30%. While the child in this example is not weight suppressed according to the 16 current calculation of WS, her BMI is significantly reduced throughout the measurement term 17 with a profile that may indicate that she is at risk for future weight gain because of her "relative" 18 WS. Further, the development of a developmentally-sensitive index of WS is also relevant for 19 young adults (e.g., aged 21), who do not have an extensive adult weight history from which to 20 draw their highest weight measurement. Following the conundrum of calculating WS in a 21 developmentally sensitive manner through emerging adulthood, girls typically reach their adult 22 height before age 15, and yet weight is expected to continue to increase as a normative part of 23 development through age 20. Thus, if a girl reaches her "adult height" at age 14, begins to lose

weight at age 15, and maintains a suppressed weight at age 22, a traditional calculation of WS
for this adult woman at age 22 would rely on this individuals highest weight since reaching adult
height (at age 15), without considering her expected weight gain throughout later adolescence.
As research attempts to evaluate mechanisms by which WS may relate to risk for eating
pathology, defining how this variable may be captured in a developmentally-sensitive way will
be critical.

7 Informing future intervention and prevention. While WS has received increased 8 attention over the past decade as a key construct of interest among ED and obesity research, 9 mixed findings regarding links between WS and weight and eating disorder related outcomes do 10 not currently offer straightforward guidance for clinical assessment and intervention 11 efforts. Closing the gap between research and practice may inform specific treatments, 12 particularly as existing studies of WS suggest that incorporation of assessment of weight history 13 may impact clinical decision-making. For instance, weight gain throughout intervention for 14 eating pathology may be a likely outcome if an individual presents at a suppressed weight (Shaw 15 et al., 2012), As such, assessing WS in combination with fear of weight gain may be clinically informative for ED treatment planning. While current treatments for BN are generally considered 16 17 to be "weight neutral," individuals high in WS are more likely to gain weight than non-18 suppressed counterparts (e.g., Carter et al., 2008); as such, offering treatment options that 19 encourage distress tolerance related to weight fluctuation may improve treatment engagement 20 and adherence for those at risk. Weight gain within the context of treatment may be necessary 21 for some individuals with AN or BN, but might be contraindicated for some individuals with 22 atypical AN, BN or BED. Consideration of a patient's WS might suggest a weight which he/she 23 might be biologically predisposed to return to, absent of ED behaviors. While need to gain or

1 lose weight to reach this eventual weight might differ transdiagnostically, it is important to 2 acknowledge, with patients, the impact that WS might have had in the etiology and maintenance 3 of his/her ED. Recent work identifies specific strategies that clinicians may put into place to 4 address WS and related fear of weight gain within standard CBT treatment for BN (Juarascio et 5 al., 2017). For example, these authors suggest that educating patients about WS (both generally, 6 and in the context of their own weight history) might help patients to see that his/her prior efforts 7 to lose weight may have contributed to BN disorder development. Further, given that WS is 8 generally associated with weight gain in ED treatment, the authors suggest that it would be 9 particularly beneficial to foster patient flexibility and acceptance of this phenomenon. Recent 10 network analysis consistently identifies fear of weight gain as a central symptom to ED 11 pathology in individuals with BN (Levinson et al., 2017). Towards this end, a clinician might 12 help a patient to understand that slight weight gain may reduce urges to binge eat, or assist the 13 patient in entertaining the pros and cons of weight gain in light of other aspects of their life and 14 recovery. Juarascio and colleagues (2017) also called for future research to determine a level of 15 WS that is clinically meaningful, one at which a patient may experience significant impact on 16 treatment response, and symptom maintenance.

Increased consideration of detailed weight history (i.e., both the timing and amount of weight change) may also be particularly clinically meaningful in informing treatment. In one large prospective study of adolescent women assessed annually over eight years, significant weight change – either weight loss or weight gain (10% change in age adjusted BMI over a period of 1 year) related to development of subthreshold or threshold BN as compared to weight stable participants (Thomas, Butryn, Stice & Lowe, 2011). In addition, those who went on to develop subthreshold or threshold BN gained significantly more weight in the two years prior to

the onset of their ED. Other work studying youth with BN indicates that current BMI and current
WS may be particularly problematic for predicting bulimic symptoms in slightly older
adolescents (Accurso et al., 2016). In investigation of younger populations with AN, evaluation
of weight history indicated that elevated BMI in grade school predicted adolescent onset of AN
(Berkowitz et al., 2016). These findings suggest that predisposition toward elevated premorbid
BMIs during childhood may characterize those who later develop AN or BN.

Informing future research. In consideration of the above-mentioned issues, further study of weight history that includes a developmentally sensitive measurement of WS is critical in understanding how EDs may develop throughout adolescence. Such calculations in both youth and young adults should be grounded in information provided by standardized growth curves over time. In so doing, researchers would be able to evaluate the impact of suppressed weight, relative to expected development.

13 Another important future direction for WS research involves better identifying the 14 mechanisms through which WS may relate to weight and eating-related outcomes. To date, some 15 researchers have posited that links between WS, weight trajectory, and eating behaviors can be 16 accounted for by metabolic processes (Leibel et al., 1995). An initial investigation of 17 physiological mechanisms, such as change in metabolic efficiency (i.e., the degree to which the 18 body uses fat as an energy source), found that WS was moderately related to changes in resting 19 metabolic rate and total energy expenditure, but such changes did not appear to underlie future 20 increases in BMI (Stice et al., 2011). Alternatively, two studies have examined leptin as a 21 potential biological mechanism that might drive WS-BN symptom associations. Produced by 22 adipose cells, leptin is a hormone that serves to inhibit food intake and regulate the storage of fat; 23 obesity is associated with decreased sensitivity to leptin (Crujeiras et al., 2015). Initial findings

from Bodell and Keel (2015) did not support a significant association between WS and leptin levels. However, in a mediation study examining associations between WS, leptin and duration of illness, Keel, Bodell, Haedt-Matt, Williams, and Applebaum (2017) found that greater WS, and lower leptin levels were related to longer duration of illness; in this study, leptin levels fully mediated the relation between WS and illness duration.

6 In addition to the need for research on biological factors that might drive WS-BN 7 associations, further research is needed to identify potential psychological mediators that may 8 account for links between WS and symptoms and weight trajectory. Also mentioned above, one 9 longitudinal study of the link between WS and BN symptoms determined that elevated WS at 10 baseline predicted greater report of bulimic symptoms at 20-year follow-up; increased drive for 11 thinness at 10-year follow-up mediated this effect (Bodell et al., 2017). Of note, this study is the 12 only investigation to date evaluating a proposed psychological mechanism related to the effects of WS. 13

14 One psychological mechanism that warrants further study involves relations between WS 15 and appetitive regulation. Neurobiological theories of eating behavior include a hedonic-16 inhibitory model, in which a particular individual with a goal of weight loss might naturally 17 engage in hedonic feeding (i.e., eating behavior that is based upon immediate reward, and 18 susceptible to visual cue activation), but would then recruit inhibitory control in an effort to 19 engage in dietary restraint (Appelhans, 2009). Currently, no work has explicitly examined the 20 potential impact of sustained WS on the mechanism of inhibitory control (and successful dietary 21 restraint) within this model. It is possible that self-control depletion (via sustained dietary 22 restraint) might be compromised in individuals for whom WS is higher, resulting in difficulties 23 in successful dieting. In contrast, some work has indicated that individuals who are able to

successfully maintain suppressed weights (e.g. successful restrainers, AN patients) may be less
prone to self-control depletion as a result of the fact that the task of restraint has been transferred
to automatic control mechanisms over time (Appelhans, French, Pagoto, & Sherwood, 2016;
Gianini, Walsh, Steinglass, & Mayer, 2017). As such, evaluation of the degree to which food
choice for a particular individual is reliant on automatic vs. executive control may be a fruitful
avenue for research that attempts to clarify the relation between WS, weight trajectory, and
eating pathology.

8 Other avenues of mechanistic research include examining psychological symptoms 9 reported among individuals with ED, including a fear of weight gain. For those with a 10 significant history of overweight or obese status, this fear may be substantially heightened. 11 Particularly for individuals with this weight status history, elevated WS (and subsequently a 12 current, much lower weight when presenting to treatment) might be particularly negatively 13 reinforcing, in that the WS might alleviate fear of returning to a premorbid weight. To date, no 14 studies have specifically examined fear of weight gain, relative to WS. For those with greater 15 WS, fear of weight gain may be a more salient treatment target within clinical intervention. 16 Further, individuals with this weight status history may also experience internalized weight 17 stigma, potentially related to perceived social reward for having achieved a lower weight and/or 18 internalization of a thin ideal. These psychological mechanisms warrant further investigation, 19 particularly as they may serve as important clinical foci within treatment. 20 In sum, work investigating psychological mechanisms related to WS is nascent.

21 Accordingly, additional longitudinal work that probes associations between WS, weight, and

22 eating-related outcomes is critical in clarifying mixed findings and moving the field's

23 understanding of the construct forward.

1 Conclusions

Over the past several decades, empirical interest in WS has flourished. Findings from recent investigation into WS suggests that across ED diagnoses, WS may relate to symptoms and treatment outcome, specifically in increased weight gain, and maintenance of BN symptoms. Within non-clinical samples, research into WS is limited, but tentative results from this line of work also suggest that WS may relate to disordered eating behaviors. However, across both clinical and non-clinical samples, findings are mixed. The reasons for the existing mixed findings may be manifold; however, pursuit of a robust, developmentally-sensitive definition and calculation of WS, an increased emphasis on translating empirical work on WS into the clinical setting, and completion of longitudinal work focused on the mechanisms of observed effects will undoubtedly provide critical information for better understanding this important construct and its implications for weight and eating-related outcomes.

1	References
2	Accurso, E. C., Lebow, J., Murray, S. B., Kass, A. E., & Le Grange, D. (2016). The relation of
3	weight suppression and BMIz to bulimic symptoms in youth with bulimia nervosa.
4	Journal of Eating Disorders, 4(1). doi:10.1186/s40337-016-0111-5
5	Appelhans, B. M. (2009). Neurobehavioral inhibition of reward-driven feeding: implications for
6	dieting and obesity. Obesity, 17(4), 640-647. doi:10.1038/oby.2008.638
7	Appelhans, B. M., French, S. A., Pagoto, S. L., & Sherwood, N. E. (2016). Managing temptation
8	in obesity treatment: a neurobehavioral model of intervention strategies. Appetite, 96,
9	268-279. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2015.09.035
10	Berkowitz, S. A., Witt, A. A., Gillberg, C., Råstam, M., Wentz, E., & Lowe, M. R. (2016).
11	Childhood body mass index in adolescent-onset anorexia nervosa. International
12	Journal of Eating Disorders, 49(11), 1002-1009. doi:10.1002/eat.22584
13	Berner, L. A., Feig, E. H., Witt, A. A., & Lowe, M. R. (2017). Menstrual cycle loss and
14	resumption among patients with anorexia nervosa spectrum eating disorders: Is relative
15	or absolute weight more influential?. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 50(4),
16	442-446. doi:10.1002/eat.22697
17	Berner, L. A., Shaw, J. A., Witt, A. A., & Lowe, M. R. (2013). The relation of weight
18	suppression and body mass index to symptomatology and treatment response in
19	anorexia nervosa. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 122, 694-708.
20	doi:10.1037/a0033930
21	Bodell, L. P., Brown, T. A., & Keel, P. K. (2017). Weight suppression predicts bulimic
22	symptoms at 20-year follow-up: The mediating role of drive for thinness. Journal of
23	Abnormal Psychology, 126(1), 32-37. doi:10.1037/abn0000217

1	Bodell, L. P., & Keel, P. K. (2015). Weight suppression in bulimia nervosa: Associations with
2	biology and behavior. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 124, 994-1002.
3	doi:10.1037/abn0000077
4	Bodell, L. P., Racine, S. E., & Wildes, J. E. (2016). Examining weight suppression as a
5	predictor of eating disorder symptom trajectories in anorexia nervosa. International
6	Journal of Eating Disorders, 49(8), 753-763. doi:10.1002/eat.22545
7	Burnette, C. B., Simpson, C. C., & Mazzeo, S. E. (2017). Exploring gender differences in the
8	link between weight suppression and eating pathology. Eating Behaviors, 27, 17-22.
9	doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2017.10.001
10	Butryn, M. L., Juarascio, A., & Lowe, M. R. (2011). The relation of weight suppression and
11	BMI to bulimic symptoms. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 44, 612-617.
12	doi:10.1002/eat.20881
13	Butryn, M. L., Lowe, M. R., Safer, D. L., & Agras, W. S. (2006). Weight suppression is a robust
14	predictor of outcome in the cognitive-behavioral treatment of bulimia nervosa. Journal
15	of Abnormal Psychology, 115, 62-67. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.115.1.62.
16	Carter, F. A., Boden, J. M., Jordan, J., McIntosh, V. V., Bulik, C. M., & Joyce, P. R. (2015).
17	Weight suppression predicts total weight gain and rate of weight gain in outpatients with
18	anorexia nervosa. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 48, 912-918.
19	doi:10.1002/eat.22425
20	Carter, F. A., McIntosh, V. V., Joyce, P. R., & Bulik, C. M. (2008). Weight suppression predicts
21	weight gain over treatment but not treatment completion or outcome in bulimia
22	nervosa. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 117, 936-940. doi:10.1037/a0013942.
23	Cook, B. J., Steffen, K. J., Mitchell, J. E., Otto, M., Crosby, R. D., Cao, L., & Powers, P.

1	(2015). A pilot study examining diagnostic differences among exercise and weight
2	suppression in bulimia nervosa and binge eating disorder. European Eating
3	Disorders Review, 23, 241-245. doi:10.1002/erv.2350
4	Crujeiras, A. B., Carreira, M. C., Cabia, B., Andrade, S., Amil, M., & Casanueva, F. F. (2015).
5	Leptin resistance in obesity: an epigenetic landscape. Life sciences, 140, 57-63.
6	doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2015.05.003
7	Dawkins, H., Watson, H. J., Egan, S. J., & Kane, R. T. (2013). Weight suppression in bulimia
8	nervosa: relationship with cognitive behavioral therapy outcome. International Journal of
9	Eating Disorders, 46, 586-593. doi:10.1002/eat.22137
10	Dombrowski, S. U., Knittle, K., Avenell, A., Araujo-Soares, V., & Sniehotta, F. F. (2014). Long
11	term maintenance of weight loss with non-surgical interventions in obese adults:
12	systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ, 348, g2646-
13	g2646. doi:10.1136/bmj.g2646
14	Duncan, L., Yilmaz, Z., Gaspar, H., Walters, R., Goldstein, J., Anttila, V., & Hinney, A.
15	(2017). Significant locus and metabolic genetic correlations revealed in genome-wide
16	association study of anorexia nervosa. American Journal of Psychiatry, 174(9), 850-858.
17	doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp. 2017.16121402
18	Eisenberg, D., Nicklett, E. J., Roeder, K., & Kirz, N. E. (2011). Eating disorder symptoms
19	among college students: Prevalence, persistence, correlates, and treatment-
20	seeking. Journal of American College Health, 59(8), 700-707.
21	doi:10.1080/07448481.2010.546461

1	Forney, K. J., Brown, T. A., Holland-Carter, L. A., Kennedy, G. A., & Keel, P. K. (2017).
2	Defining "significant weight loss" in atypical anorexia nervosa. International Journal of
3	Eating Disorders, 50(8), 952-962. doi:10.1002/eat.22717
4	Fothergill, E., Guo, J., Howard, L., Kerns, J. C., Knuth, N. D., Brychta, R., & Hall, K. D.
5	(2016). Persistent metabolic adaptation 6 years after "The Biggest Loser"
6	competition. Obesity, 24(8), 1612-1619. doi:10.1002/oby.21538
7	French, S. A., & Jeffery, R. W. (1997). Current dieting, weight loss history, and weight
8	suppression: behavioral correlates of three dimensions of dieting. Addictive
9	behaviors, 22(1), 31-44. doi:10.1016/S0306-4603(96)00002-0
10	Gianini, L.M., Walsh, B.T., Steinglass, J., Mayer, L. (2017) Long-term weight loss maintenance
11	in obesity: Possible insights from anorexia nervosa. International Journal of Eating
12	Disorders, 50(4), 341-342. doi:10.1002/eat.22685
13	Greenway, F. L. (2015). Physiological adaptations to weight loss and factors favouring weight
14	regain. International Journal of Obesity, 39(8), 1188-1196. doi:10.1038/ijo.2015.59
15	Hagan, K. E., Clark, K. E., & Forbush, K. T. (2017). Incremental validity of weight suppression
16	in predicting clinical impairment in bulimic syndromes. International Journal of Eating
17	Disorders, 50(6), 672-678. doi:10.1002/eat.22673.
18	Herman, C. P., & Polivy, J. (1980). Restrained eating. Obesity, 208-225. doi:10.1016/b978-0-
19	12-374387-9.00011-8
20	Herzog, D. B., Thomas, J. G., Kass, A. E., Eddy, K. T., Franko, D. L., & Lowe, M. R. (2010).
21	Weight suppression predicts weight change over 5 years in bulimia nervosa.
22	Psychiatry Research, 177, 330-334. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.03.002

1	Hessler, J. B., Diedrich, A., Greetfeld, M., Schlegl, S., Schwartz, C., & Voderholzer, U. (2017).
2	Weight Suppression But Not Symptom Improvement Predicts Weight Gain During
3	Inpatient Treatment for Bulimia Nervosa. European Eating Disorders Review.
4	doi:10.1002/erv.2573
5	Jenkins, P. E., Lebow, J., & Rienecke, R. D. (2018). Weight Suppression as a Predictor Variable
6	in the Treatment of Eating Disorders: A Systematic Review. Journal of psychiatric and
7	mental health nursing. doi:10.1111/jpm.12462
8	Juarascio, A., Lantz, E. L., Muratore, A. F., & Lowe, M. R. (2017). Addressing Weight
9	Suppression to Improve Treatment Outcome for Bulimia Nervosa. Cognitive and
10	Behavioral Practice. doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2017.09.004
11	Keel, P. K., Bodell, L. P., Haedt-Matt, A. A., Williams, D. L., & Appelbaum, J. (2017). Weight
12	suppression and bulimic syndrome maintenance: Preliminary findings for the
13	mediating role of leptin. International Journal of Eating Disorders. 50(12), 1432–1436.
14	doi:10.1002/eat.22788
15	Keel, P. K., & Heatherton, T. F. (2010). Weight suppression predicts maintenance and onset
16	of bulimic syndromes at 10-year follow-up. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 119,
17	268-275. doi:10.1037/a0019190
18	Klem, M. L., Wing, R. R., McGuire, M. T., Seagle, H. M., & Hill, J. O. (1998). Psychological
19	symptoms in individuals successful at long-term maintenance of weight loss. Health
20	Psychology, 17(4), 336-345. doi:10.1037/0278-6133.17.4.336
21	Latner, J. D., & Ciao, A. C. (2014). Weight-loss history as a predictor of obesity treatment
22	outcome: Prospective, long-term results from behavioral, group self-help
23	treatment. Journal of Health Psychology, 19(2), 253-261.

doi:10.1177/1359105312468191

2	Lavender, J. M., Shaw, J. A., Crosby, R. D., Feig, E. H., Mitchell, J. E., Crow, S. J., & Lowe,
3	M. R. (2015). Associations between weight suppression and dimensions of eating
4	disorder psychopathology in a multisite sample. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 69,
5	87-93. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.07.021
6	Leibel, R. L., Rosenbaum, M., & Hirsch, J. (1995). Changes in energy expenditure resulting
7	from altered body weight. New England Journal of Medicine, 332(10), 621-628.
8	doi:10.1056/nejm199503093321001
9	Levinson, C. A., Zerwas, S., Calebs, B., Forbush, K., Kordy, H., Watson, H., & Runfola, C. D.
10	(2017). The core symptoms of bulimia nervosa, anxiety, and depression: A network
11	analysis. Journal of abnormal psychology, 126(3), 340. doi:10.1037/abn0000254
12	Lowe, M. R. (1984). Dietary concern, weight fluctuation and weight status: Further
13	explorations of the Restraint Scale. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 22(3), 243-248.
14	doi:10.1016/0005-7967(84)90004-4
15	Lowe, M. R. (1986). Dieting and binging: Some unanswered questions. American Psychologist,
16	41(3), 326–327. doi:10.1037/0003-066x.41.3.326
17	Lowe, M. R. (1993). The effects of dieting on eating behavior: A three-factor model.
18	Psychological bulletin, 114(1), 100-121. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.114.1.100
19	Lowe, M. R. (2015). Dieting: proxy or cause of future weight gain?. Obesity Reviews, 16, 19-
20	24. doi:10.1111/obr.12252.
21	Lowe, M. R., Annunziato, R. A., Markowitz, J. T., Didie, E., Bellace, D. L., Riddell, L., &
22	Stice, E. (2006). Multiple types of dieting prospectively predict weight gain during the
23	freshman year of college. Appetite, 47, 83-90. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2006.03.160

1	Lowe, M. R., Berner, L. A., Swanson, S. A., Clark, V. L., Eddy, K. T., Franko, D. L., &
2	Herzog, D. B. (2011). Weight suppression predicts time to remission from bulimia
3	nervosa. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 79, 772-776.
4	doi:10.1037/a0025714.
5	Lowe, M. R., Davis, W., Lucks, D., Annunziato, R., & Butryn, M. (2006). Weight suppression
6	predicts weight gain during inpatient treatment of bulimia nervosa. Physiology &
7	Behavior, 87, 487-492. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.11.011
8	Lowe, M. R., & Kleifield, E. I. (1988). Cognitive restraint, weight suppression, and the
9	regulation of eating. Appetite, 10, 159-168. doi:10.1016/0195-6663(88)90009-8.
10	Lowe, M. R., Thomas, J. G., Safer, D. L., & Butryn, M. L. (2007). The relationship of weight
11	suppression and dietary restraint to binge eating in bulimia nervosa. International Journal
12	of Eating Disorders, 40, 640-644. doi:10.1002/eat.20405
13	Mason, C., Foster-Schubert, K. E., Imayama, I., Xiao, L., Kong, A., Campbell, K. L., &
14	Blackburn, G. L. (2013). History of weight cycling does not impede future weight
15	loss or metabolic improvements in postmenopausal women. Metabolism, 62(1), 127-
16	136. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2012.06.012
17	Miotto, G., Chiappini, I., Favaro, A., Santonastaso, P., & Gallicchio, D. (2017). Assessing the
18	role of weight suppression (WS) and weight loss rate (WLR) in eating disorders.
19	European Psychiatry, 41, S71-S72. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2017.01.230
20	Mitchell, K. S., Neale, M. C., Bulik, C. M., Lowe, M., Maes, H. H., Kendler, K. S., & Mazzeo,
21	S. E. (2011). An investigation of weight suppression in a population-based sample of
22	female twins. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 44, 44-49.
23	doi:10.1002/eat.20780

1	Morgan, P. J., & Jeffrey, D. B. (1999). Brief report restraint, weight suppression, and self-
2	report reliability: how much do you really weigh?. Addictive Behaviors, 24, 679-682.
3	doi:10.1016/S0306-4603(98)00051-3
4	Polivy, J., & Herman, C. P. (1985). Dieting and binging: A causal analysis. American
5	Psychologist, 40(2), 193-201. doi:10.1037/0003-066x.40.2.193
6	Reed, Z. E., Micali, N., Bulik, C. M., Davey Smith, G., & Wade, K. H. (2017). Assessing the
7	causal role of adiposity on disordered eating in childhood, adolescence, and
8	adulthood: a Mendelian randomization analysis. The American Journal of Clinical
9	Nutrition, 106(3), 764-772. doi:10.3945/ajcn.117.154104
10	Schaumberg, K., Anderson, L. M., Reilly, E. E., Gorrell, S., Anderson, D. A., & Earleywine, M.
11	(2016). Considering alternative calculations of weight suppression. Eating Behaviors, 20,
12	57-63.doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2015.11.003
13	Shaw, J. A., Herzog, D. B., Clark, V. L., Berner, L. A., Eddy, K. T., Franko, D. L., & Lowe, M.
14	R. (2012). Elevated pre-morbid weights in bulimic individuals are usually surpassed
15	post-morbidly: Implications for perpetuation of the disorder. International Journal
16	of Eating Disorders, 45, 512-523. doi:10.1002/eat.20985
17	Stice, E., Davis, K., Miller, N. P., & Marti, C. N. (2008). Fasting increases risk for onset of binge
18	eating and bulimic pathology: a 5-year prospective study. Journal of abnormal
19	psychology, 117(4), 941-946. doi:10.1037/a0013644
20	Stice, E., Durant, S., Burger, K. S., & Schoeller, D. A. (2011). Weight suppression and risk of
21	future increases in body mass: effects of suppressed resting metabolic rate and energy
22	expenditure. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 94, 7-11.
23	doi:10.3945/ajcn.110.010025

1	Swenne, I., Parling, T., & Ros, H. S. (2017). Family-based intervention in adolescent
2	restrictive eating disorders: early treatment response and low weight suppression is
3	associated with favourable one-year outcome. BMC Psychiatry, 17(1), 333.
4	doi:10.1186/s12888-017-1486-9
5	Thomas, J. G., Butryn, M. L., Stice, E., & Lowe, M. R. (2011). A prospective test of the relation
6	between weight change and risk for bulimia nervosa. International Journal of Eating
7	Disorders, 44, 295-303. doi:10.1002/eat.20832
8	Vall, E., & Wade, T. D. (2015). Predictors of treatment outcome in individuals with eating
9	disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Eating
10	Disorders, 48, 946-971. doi:10.1002/eat.22411
11	Van Son, G. E., van der Meer, P. A., & Van Furth, E. F. (2013). Correlates and associations
12	between weight suppression and binge eating symptomatology in a population-based
13	sample. Eating Behaviors, 14, 102-106. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.11.003
14	Wildes, J. E., & Marcus, M. D. (2012). Weight suppression as a predictor of weight gain and
15	response to intensive behavioral treatment in patients with anorexia
16	nervosa. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 50(4), 266-274.
17	doi:10.1016/j.brat.2012.02.006
18	Witt, A. A., Berkowitz, S. A., Gillberg, C., Lowe, M. R., Råstam, M., & Wentz, E. (2014).
19	Weight suppression and body mass index interact to predict long-term weight outcomes
20	in adolescent-onset anorexia nervosa. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology,
21	82, 1207-1211. doi:10.1037/a0037484

1	Wye, G., Dubin, J. A., Blair, S. N., & Pietro, L. (2007). Weight Cycling and 6-Year Weight
2	Change in Healthy Adults: The Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study. Obesity, 15(3),
3	731-739. doi:10.1038/oby.2007.598
4	Yilmaz, Z., Gottfredson, N.C., Zerwas, S.C., Bulik, C.M., & Micali, N. Developmental
5	premorbid BMI trajectories of adolescents with eating disorders in a longitudinal
6	population cohort (manuscript submitted for publication)
7	Zunker, C., Crosby, R. D., Mitchell, J. E., Wonderlich, S. A., Peterson, C. B., & Crow, S. J.
8	(2011). Weight suppression as a predictor variable in treatment trials of bulimia nervosa
9	and binge eating disorder. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 44, 727-730.
10	doi:10.1002/eat.20859
11	
10	